

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/798,432	03/12/2004	Takuichi Arai	04853.0112 6544		
22852 FINNEGAN, F	7590 06/07/200 IENDERSON, FARAE	EXAMINER			
LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			KALAFUT, STEPHEN J		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1745		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/07/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/798,432		ARAI, TAKUICHI			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Stephen J. Kalaf	fut	1745			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,							
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS CO 36(a). In no event, how vill apply and will expire , cause the application t	OMMUNICATION rever, may a reply be time. SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 April 2007</u> .						
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
3)							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	4) Claim(s) 1-8,10 and 11 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) <u>8 and 10</u> is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) <u>1 and 11</u> is/are rejected.						
·	Claim(s) <u>2-7</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers						
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
' ' / '	The part of declaration is objected to by the Ex	ammer. Note the	attached Office	Action of form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen	t(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗌	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) Infor	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲	Notice of Informal P				
Pape	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) 📙	Other:				

Art Unit: 1745

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found

in a prior Office action.

Claim 1, for reasons of record, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by

Kerres et al. (US 7,049,202).

Claim 1, for reasons of record, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by

Häring et al. (DE 119 19 881).

Claim 11, for reasons of record, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable

over either Kerres et al. or Häring et al., both above.

Claims 8 and 10 are allowed. These claims have been rewritten to overcome the previous

rejection under §112. See paper no. 20061208, page 5.

Claims 2-7, for reasons of record, are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base

claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of

the base claim and any intervening claims. Claim 5 has been rewritten to overcome the previous

rejection under §112. See paper no. 20061208, page 4.

Applicant's arguments filed 06 April 2007 have been fully considered but they are not

persuasive.

Page 3

Art Unit: 1745

Applicants argue that Kerres et al. and Häring et al. disclose clay minerals with layers linked by cations that occur naturally, while the present claims recite "a polyvalent metal ion, which does not naturally exist" in the mineral. This is not persuasive because Kerres et al. teach metal ions may be "additionally incorporated" into the clay minerals (column 2, lines 25-28). Since the formula includes terms M<sup>n+</sup> and (n-1)+, this would indicated polyvalent metal ions. This term "additionally incorporated" would indicate that the metal ion would not be one that is present naturally. Kerres et al. also teach that "the exchange of the metal ions can be complete or partial" (column 7, line 13), which would imply that the ion naturally present and the one being incorporated are two different ions, and that the incorporated ions would occupy the spaces where the naturally present ions had been. As previously noted, the disclosure of Häring et al. is the same as the disclosure of Kerres et al. Thus, Häring et al. would also teach the incorporation of ions not naturally present in the clay mineral.

The rejection over Lahanas et al. is withdrawn because claim1 recites a membrane, whereas Lahanas et al. disclose powders.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

Art Unit: 1745

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen J. Kalafut whose telephone number is 571-272-1286. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:00 am-4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick J. Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

STEPHEN KALAFUT

sjk